

Conte, Mark

From: Milt Stoltzfus [MStoltzfus@PFSCorporation.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2006 8:40 AM
To: mconte@state.pa.us
Subject: Proposed Rule Making

Mark - I sent this yesterday but it came back undelivered. I'll try it again.

This is a follow-up to our conversation today on the proposed changes. Specifically, we discussed the language included for the stairway exception.

Item 145.42 (a) (2) (iv) - The word "stair(s)" appears at the beginning and end of this section. Section R-213 of the 1992 code text and the current code text all identify the requirements for the "stairway". By having the word "stair" it appears the requirement is for the tread width to be a minimum of 3 feet in clear width. The word should remain "stairway" when showing the provisions of this alternate.

Item 145.42 (a) (2) (v) contains the word "require" when it should be "required".

If there are any questions concerning any of this please feel free to contact me at this office.

RECEIVED
2006 AUG 25 PM 2:46
INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
ENERGY COMMISSION

RECEIVED
JUL 28 2006
OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL
DCED